

Application by Highways England for an Order Granting Development Consent for the A38 Derby Junctions Scheme
Table for Examining Authority's Rule 17 request for further information regarding draft Development Consent Order Schedules 5 and 7

Issued on 12 November 2019

The following table sets out the Examining Authority's request for further information regarding draft Development Consent Order Schedules 5 and 7.

The Applicant is asked to respond by Deadline 2, on Tuesday 19 November 2019.

Any comments on the responses are requested by Deadline 3, on Thursday 19 December 2020.

Abbreviations used and Examination Library references

Abbreviation	Meaning	Examination Library reference
BoR	Book of Reference	[AS-007]
dDCO	draft Development Consent Order	[REP1-019]
SoR	Statement of Reasons	[APP-020]

The Examination Library is available at <http://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/document/TR010022-000671>

No.	Plot reference number shown on the land plans	Further information requested by the Examining Authority
Schedule 5 - Land on which only new rights etc. may be acquired		
1.	General	Should any references to "cycleway" or "cycle way" in the Book of Reference (BoR), Statement of Reasons (SoR) and draft Development Consent Order (dDCO) Schedules 1 and 5 be amended to "cycle track", consistent with the definition provided in Article 2 of the dDCO?
2.	2/1b	Should reference also be made to Work No. 8 and "the establishment and maintenance of environmental mitigation and enhancement"?
3.	2/1c	The purpose given is not consistent with the SoR. Should dDCO Schedule 5 and/or the SoR be amended?
4.	2/9	Should the purpose include for the <u>maintenance</u> of the cycle track?
5.	2/19a	Should reference be made to a footway, as noted in the SoR?
6.	3/1p and 3/1q	The purpose given is not consistent with the SoR. Should dDCO Schedule 5 and/or the SoR be amended?
7.	3/1w	Should reference be made to "the construction of a new emergency access"?
8.	3/22b	The purpose given is not consistent with the dDCO Schedule 1 description of Work No. 16(g), as referenced by the SoR. Should dDCO Schedules 1, 5 and/or the SoR be amended?
9.	4/1d	The purpose given is not consistent with the SoR. Should dDCO Schedule 5 and/or the SoR be amended?
10.	8/6	The purpose given is not consistent with the dDCO Schedule 1 descriptions of Works No. 24 and 27, as referenced by the SoR.

No.	Plot reference number shown on the land plans	Further information requested by the Examining Authority
		Should dDCO Schedules 1, 5 and/or the SoR be amended?
11.	8/25c	This plot is included in the BoR, but not in dDCO Schedule 5 or the SoR. Should the BoR, dDCO Schedule 5 and/or the SoR be amended?
12.	9/6b	Replace "aces" with "access"? Replace Work No. 25 with Work No. 35, consistent with the SoR?
Schedule 7 – Land of which temporary possession may be taken		
13.	2/1a, 2/1b	Remove plot reference 2/1b, consistent with the BoR, SoR and Land Plans?
14.	2/1d	The purpose given is not consistent with the SoR. Should dDCO Schedule 7 and/or the SoR be amended?
15.	2/1l	Should the plot reference be amended to 2/1n, as the SoR and Land Plans? The purpose given is not consistent with the dDCO Schedule 1 description of Work No. 3, as referenced by the SoR. Should dDCO Schedules 1, 7 and/or the SoR be amended?
16.	2/1r	Should the Land Plans be updated to clarify plot references above and below the Brackensdale underbridge?
17.	2/6	Should Work No. 8 be included, as the SoR, and the purpose updated accordingly? Should the purpose include for the <u>maintenance</u> of the cycle track? If so, is it necessary for this plot be moved to Schedule 5?
18.	3/1g and 3/1h	Should reference be made to Work No. 1, consistent with the purpose of "works to effect the stopping up of the entry and exit lanes of Raleigh Street"?

No.	Plot reference number shown on the land plans	Further information requested by the Examining Authority
19.	3/1j	Replace " <i>realignment</i> " with " <i>realignment</i> "?
20.	3/1t and 3/8b	Should plot reference 3/8b be added to the SoR, consistent with dDCO Schedule 7 and the BoR?
21.	3/1aa	Should plot reference 3/1aa be added to dDCO Schedule 7 and the SoR, consistent with the BoR and Land Plans?
22.	3/4	Should Work No. 22 be included and the purpose and SoR updated accordingly?
23.	4/1c	Should Work No. 21 be included, consistent with the SoR, and the purpose updated accordingly?
24.	4/1d and 4/1h	Replace plot reference 4/1d with 4/7d, consistent with the BoR, SoR and Land Plans?
25.	4/13b	Should the Works Plans be updated to show Work No. 20 in the location of plot reference 4/13b?
26.	7/9, 7/8, 7/1e, 7/1f, 7/10, 7/11 and 7/12	The SoR includes " <i>required for alterations to a bridge on Ford Lane</i> " for plot reference 7/1f. Should dDCO Schedule 7 and/or the SoR be amended?
27.	8/1	Plot reference 8/1 is included twice in Schedule 7. Remove one instance?
28.	8/15, 8/16b, 8/24b, 8/25c and 8/25b	Should plot reference 8/24b be deleted, as it is included separately? Should reference to Work No. 32 and " <i>accommodation of a works compound</i> " be added to the SoR?
29.	8/23b	Should plot reference 8/23b be deleted, as it is included in Schedule 5, consistent with the BoR, SoR and Land Plans?